**Blueprint**

**Recommendation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommendation Title:** | Blueprint Workgroup Recap Video, Email |
| **Sub-Committee** | Communications |
| **Recommendation #** | 1 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Program** | **Function/Service** | **Statutory / Rule Change Ohio Revised Code Cite** | **Driver Impact** | **Priority Status H=High, M=Medium, L=Low** |
|  |  |  | 4 | M |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Key Finding** | People in Ohio’s developmental disability system are unaware of the work of the Blueprint Group, subcommittee work, and changes to Ohio’s developmental disability system. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommendation Proposal** | Generate a recap communication for DODD and partners to share with the Ohio developmental disabilities field about the work being done by the larger group and the subcommittees. Also, as approved by the larger blueprint group, communicate updates and proposals that may impact the way Ohio’s developmental disability system operates and supports people in the system |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rationale for Change** | Inform and educate about the work being done. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Concisely bullet the recommendation’s positives/upside & negative/downside or critical list questions to debate** | **Pros** | * Helps all the system understand the direction and what is going with the group * Cultivates transparency, keeps internal/external stakeholders updated on plans/progress |
| **Cons** | * If too little information is given, it is not helpful to the stakeholders; * Not appropriate for a subset of the population that has limited or no access to the internet, technology, or equipment. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Fiscal Implications** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Measure of Success** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Implementation Tip** | * Provide enough material through this process that people are receiving useful information and have a good feel about what is occurring * Consider embracing diversity by offers content that is accessible, in other languages, provide closed captioning. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Note / Reference Material** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Projected Implementation** | | | | |
| **2021** | **2022** | **2023** | **2024** | **Dependency / Sequencing Factor** |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Threshold Question Check** | |
| *Please vet the recommendation against these questions. Please check the left-hand column if the answer is “yes, this recommendation addresses this question.” While few recommendations will meet all threshold points, it is important to ensure that the recommendation is appropriately aligned with consensus system change drivers and advancing the vision.* | |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation address one or more of the identified system change drivers? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation improve the client experience? Lead to better outcomes for people? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation advance the delivery of better services for work, non-work or transportation? |
|  | 1. Does the recommendation advance community employment? |
|  | 1. Does the recommendation facilitate serving more clients? Reducing waiting lists? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation reduce administrative burden? Simplify? |
|  | 1. Does the recommendation unify or standardize approaches across State agencies? County Boards? Multiple providers or settings? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation represent a modern approach? Embrace technology? |
| Yes | 1. Is the recommendation affordable – an efficient and effective use of limited resources? |
| Yes | 1. Does the benefit of the recommendation balance with the cost of implementation/ongoing capacity? |
|  | 1. Does the recommendation help the system serve individual with more severe disabilities or who have medically complex issues? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation deliver public value? Would most taxpayers feel this recommendation is worthy of the taxpayer’s time, money and trust? |
| Yes | 1. Does the recommendation move the system toward quality, dependable, equitable service regardless of where an individual lives in Ohio? |
|  | 1. Does the recommendation address racial bias? |
|  | 1. Is this recommendation “necessary to meet the charge” and not just “nice to have”? |

**Instructions:**

Each sub-committee will fill out completely one Blueprint Recommendation Form for each recommendation. Be concise. Report what is needed for the full membership to understand the context of the recommendation, the recommendation itself, and how the recommendation can impact expected outcomes.